**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT :**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE**

**MONDAY, THE 27TH NOVEMBER 2006 / 6TH AGRAHAYANA 1928**

**WP(C).No. 31291 of 2006(A)**
**----------**

**PETITIONERS:**
**----------**

**1. M. ANTONY, KIZHAKKETHADATHIL,**
**KUTTOOR, THIRUVALLA-6.**

**2. P.J. KURIAN, PATTUKALAYIL HOUSE,**
**KUTTOOR P.O., THIRUVALLA-6.**

**BY ADV. SRI.D.SAJEEV**
**SRI.P.V.VARGHESE**

**RESPONDENTS:**
**----------**

**1. THE SECRETARY, KUTTOOR GRAMA**
**PANCHAYAT, KUTTOOR P.O., THIRUVALLA.**

**2. JOSEPH P. ALIAS,**
**PATTUKALAYIL, KUTTOOR P.O.,**
**THIRUVALLA.**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 27/11/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

**PIUS C.KURIAKOSE,J.**

**W.P.(C) NO. 31291 OF 2006**

**Dated this the 27th day of November, 2006**

**J U D G M E N T**

The grievance of the petitioners is that inspite of clear directions given by the Tribunal for Local Self Government Institutions under Exhibit P2 order that fresh orders after hearing of parties be passed within one month of receiving the order, the first respondent-Panchayat has not so far passed any orders.

2. Mr.D.Sajeev, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as per Exhibit P3, hearing was conducted on 10/10/2006 and more than one month has elapsed even after the hearing is over. Having regard to the above submissions and in view of Exhibits P2 and P3, I am of the view that it is not necessary that notice is ordered to the respondents. The writ petition will stand disposed of with the following directions.

If hearing is already conducted pursuant to Exhibit P3 as claimed by the petitioner, first respondent-Panchayat will pass final orders on the basis of that hearing at their earliest, and at any rate within two weeks of receiving copy of this judgment.

**PIUS C.KURIAKOSE, JUDGE**

Rp